

## MHHS Programme Steering Group (PSG) Headline Report

Issue date: 15/07/2022

|                |                                |                |                           |
|----------------|--------------------------------|----------------|---------------------------|
| Meeting Number | <b>PSG 010 - extraordinary</b> | Venue          | <b>Virtual – MS Teams</b> |
| Date and Time  | <b>14 July 2022 1500-1530</b>  | Classification | <b>Public</b>             |

### Actions

| Area         | Action Ref | Action   | Owner                        | Due Date   |
|--------------|------------|--|------------------------------|------------|
| <b>CR009</b> | PSG10-01   | Update CR009 with feedback from PSG (e.g. inclusion of RA2 timescales, context for the transition design) and issue for industry Impact Assessment | Programme (Keith Clark, PMO) | 15/07/2022 |

### Decisions

| Area         | Dec Ref   | Decision   |
|--------------|-----------|--|
| <b>CR009</b> | PSG-DEC17 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The PSG decided that CR009 was a PSG-owned Change Request</li> <li>The PSG decided that CR009 should be raised for industry Impact Assessment, subject to some small updates (action PSG10-01)</li> </ul> |

### Key Discussion Items

| Area                     | Discussion   |
|--------------------------|--|
| <b>Decision on CR009</b> | <p>The Programme (Keith Clark) explained the intention to make a decision whether to raise CR009 for Impact Assessment. Ahead of a decision, PSG members provided the following feedback:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The Programme (Keith Clark) provided feedback on behalf of the Large Supplier representative. Keith Clark explained he had been told that the Large Supplier representative was unable to attend this PSG meeting, but that he and that representative had discussed CR009 and therefore he could re-state that representative's position at PSG. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Large suppliers were comfortable with the approach to M5 based on assurances that the timeline was viable.</li> <li>Large suppliers did not intend to raise a Change Request against M3 on the basis that the re-plan sufficiently reflects the content of CR009 and clearly details the activities related to Programme Participant mobilisation and readiness for</li> </ul> </li> </ul> |

Design, Build and Test (DBT) that will occur or continue to occur after M3 (such as high-level impact assessment and finalising sourcing strategies). The programme (Keith Clark) confirmed that that was the case.

- Large suppliers may still raise a Change Request to extend the second consultation period for the re-plan beyond M5, so that Programme Participants have an opportunity to input further into the Programme plan once the baselined design is formally approved. It was agreed that this proposal, should it be raised in a Change Request, would be separate to the objective of CR009 – to change M5 and M3 dates.

- The RECCo representative queried timeframes relating to Readiness Assessment 2 (RA2), as the interim plan showed the report on RA2 would be issued in mid-November which was after the proposed decision on M3 and M5. The Programme clarified that an interim report on RA2 was intended ahead of the M3 and M5 decision and that an update to CR009 would be made to make this clearer before it is issued (action PSG10-01).
- The DNO representative queried if there was an error in dates for M5 and M3 in CR009, with DBT to start on 31 October but a decision made on 02 November. The Programme clarified that M5 would be signed off at the Design Advisory Group (DAG) on 29 October at which point DBT could start. The decision would be communicated to PSG for information on 02 November.
- The DNO, Elexon and RECCo representatives queried the approach to the transition design, noting the transition design was no longer part of the baseline design at M5 in CR009. There was concern that later delivery of the transition design may impact code drafting and Programme Participants' own planning and design, as well as the possibility of broader impacts of this falling outside the core design. The Programme agreed to update CR009 to provide more context on these subjects before CR009 is issued (action PSG10-01).
- The Elexon representative queried if any other elements of the MHHS Target Operating Model (TOM) were not considered within the baselined design at M5 in CR009. The Programme clarified that no other changes had been proposed and that Non-Functional Requirements were remaining a core part of the design.

The PSG agreed that CR009 was PSG-owned and should be raised to industry Impact Assessment, subject to some small updates (decision PSG-DEC17 and action PSG10-01). The outputs of Impact Assessment will come back to PSG on 10 August 2022 for a decision on a recommendation to submit to Ofgem for approval (as the Change Request proposes a move of >3 months to a Level 1 milestone).

**Date of next meeting: 10 August 2022**