

## MHHS Testing and Migration Advisory Group Headline Report

Issue date: 21/04/22

Meeting Number **TMAG004**

Venue **Virtual – MS Teams**

Meeting Date and Time **20 April 2022 1000-1200**

Classification **Public**

### Actions

Area	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date	Update
<b>E2E Testing and Integration Strategy</b>	TMAG04-01	Issue the updated TMAG004 slide pack (as presented)	Programme (PMO)	21/04/22	Issued alongside meeting Headline Report
	TMAG04-02	Provide any final comments on the E2E Testing and Integration Strategy and participation expectations by COP this Friday 22 April	TMAG members	22/04/22	
	TMAG04-03	Meet with the MHHSP Design team to discuss SDS service provider split and participation in testing and qualification	Programme (Kate Goodman, Jason Brogden)	29/04/22	
	TMAG04-04	Provide feedback on Advanced Data Service participation expectations (focus qualification)	Ian Hall, Chris Butterfield, Martin Hanley	22/04/22	
	TMAG04-05	Provide feedback on DNO/iDNO approach to participation	iDNO rep (Stacey Buck)	22/04/22	
	TMAG04-06	Update the E2E Testing and Integration Strategy as per the comments received in TMAG and offline. Issue to TMAG members for ex-committee approval ahead of May TMAG (see TMAG-DEC07)	Programme (Kate Goodman)	29/04/22	
	TMAG04-07	Share the meeting recording with the Large Supplier representative	Programme (PMO)	21/04/22	

## Decisions

Area	Dec Ref	Decision
<b>E2E Testing and Integration Strategy</b>	TMAG-DEC07	The E2E Testing and Integration Strategy was approved in principle subject to updates as discussed.

## Key Discussion items

Area	Discussion
<b>E2E Testing and Integration Strategy</b>	<p>The majority of discussion focussed on feedback comments received from Programme Participants on the E2E Testing and Integration Strategy. The Programme presented the outputs of the review of the Strategy by TMAG and DWG members. This included information on the type of feedback and their next steps, with 10 specific comments for discussion in the TMAG (see meeting slides for detail).</p> <p>Three comments were discussed in detail:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"><li>1. On SEC Panel TAG governance, a further query was raised on including joint governance in the strategy. The Programme agreed this would be added and that close working would be required to coordinate relevant testing topics and approvals across the groups.</li><li>2. On the number of environments planned in testing, the Programme confirmed there will be only one test environment in SIT and that other environments will be detailed in the environments plan.</li><li>3. On participation expectations, the Programme presented the proposed participant expectations by BSC role across the stages of testing. Some queries and clarifications were discussed<ul style="list-style-type: none"><li>• SEC should be added under SDS</li><li>• SDS role is made up of a number of functions (MDR, MRS, PSS) that may be split across organisation types/service providers with different responsibilities across testing stages. The SDS role may therefore need to be broken down further. This required further internal discussion (action TMAG04-03)</li><li>• All BSC roles going through PIT must go through SIT. Representative samples of roles (i.e. a subset of organisations) may be enough for some role groups (to prevent high cost and over complication of testing) but enough parties would be needed to prove the E2E design works in practice</li><li>• Terminology in the current Ofgem Transition Timetable is different in some cases to that in the E2E Strategy document. This would be noted in the next version of the Strategy document with a mapping provided to help clarify. The Transition Timetable will be updated as a result of the Programme replan and there will be a mismatch between the Transition Timetable and the Strategy document activities until the replan is completed.</li></ul></li></ol>

	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>The iDNO representative noted that iDNOs needed to review the approach to iDNO participation to ensure enough engagement in testing without resulting in high costs for small iDNOs. For example, SIT stages could be shared across organisations to prevent some organisations doing all test stages while some do none (see meeting slides for detail) (action TMAG04-05)</li> </ul> <p>The TMAG decided to agree the E2E Testing and Integration Strategy in principle, subject to updates from existing comments and discussion in the TMAG (TMAG-DEC07). The Strategy will now be updated and shared for approval ex-committee (action TMAG04-06).</p> <p>The Programme and TMAG members noted that the Strategy is a living document and will be updated in future (likely after M5 when the design is baselined and the first draft of the replan available), but that it is important to baseline the document now as an input to the Programme replan.</p>
<b>Test Data Strategy</b>	The Programme provided an update on the Test Data Strategy. This has been reviewed by DWG and TMAG members and discussed in detail at DWG. The next iteration of the document will be issued shortly for comment.
<b>Working Group Plan</b>	The Programme provided an overview of planned Working Groups under the TMAG and when they may be mobilised. The Environments and Configuration Management Working Group (ECMWG) will be mobilised in the coming months.