

MHHS Design Advisory Group Headline Report

Issue date: 18/02/22

Meeting Number	DAG005	Venue	Virtual – MS Teams		
Meeting Date and Time	16 February 2022 1500-1600	Classification	Public		

Actions	Action Ref	Action	Owner	Due Date	Update
	DAG05-01	Clarify wording on the Interface Approach principle to include logical grouping of data (e.g. sending whole addresses rather than just the part of the address that needs updating)	Ian Smith	09/03/2022	Wording in decision below reflects logical groups
	DAG05-02	Email DAG members to seek approval via correspondence for Decisions relating to approval of Interface Approach Option 2 (Thin - Rejection Outcome/message, & Content of original transaction data) and Registration Query Service Approach. DAG members are to provide responses to pmo@mhhsprogramme.co.uk by COP Friday 18/02/22	PMO	16/02/2022	Update: Email shared by PMO
	DAG05-03	Ensure PSG are aware that the risks posed by a lack of resource for programme participants are now manifesting and impacting Programme delivery (as exhibited at this DAG where discussions around supplier engagement and resourcing led to Suppliers not being in a position to approve a number of recommendations to DAG)	Programme	02/03/2022	

Decisions	Service Appointments	Decision to progress Option 1 – (CCDG Preferred Approach) Registration Mediated Service Appointments. Existing Supplier-Agent interactions (D155/D11) replaced by new interfaces between Supplier and Registration System via the DIP. DAG members should raise any concerns through PSG on this decision and their rationale for rejecting making a decision at this time.
-----------	----------------------	---

	Interface Approach	The Transactional Messages – Initial Interaction principle was approved, subject to clarifications in wording (Action DAG05-01 above). This principle is: of ‘Transactional messages should only contain the data items (including logical groups) specifically related to the processing of that event, and that ancillary data items not directly connected to that transaction/event should not be included.’
Key Discussion Items	Design decision regarding Service Appointment	<p>A decision was sought as to which design option to pursue. Ian Smith presented evidence on benefits of reduced process errors and programme participant responses that Option 1 (Registration Mediated Service Appointments) should be progressed by the Programme. Suppliers (Large Suppliers, Medium Suppliers and I&C Suppliers) did not support making a decision today on the basis of a lack of resource to have assessed the options effectively and make an informed decision. DCC, Independent Agents, DNOs and NGESO were supportive of Option 1. No parties were supportive of Option 2, with iDNO and Elexon reps neutral</p> <p>Decision was made by DAG Chair to progress Option 1 based on the rationale that Option 1 reduces errors, had support of several parties, and in recognition that a decision needed to be made at this DAG to unblock a number of design artefacts (35) so as to remain on track to meet the Ofgem-set Programme plan.</p>
	Design decision regarding Interface Approach	<p>Ian Smith presented evidence that messages only containing necessary data reduces redundant rejections) and participant responses had been supportive of the Transactional Messages – Initial Interaction principle. DAG members were also in agreement with this principle, subject to some clarifications in wording to include logical data groups.</p> <p>Given meeting time constraints, the group were unable to progress two further design decisions (Interface Approach and Registration Service Query Approach) and hence the Programme will seek approval via correspondence (action DAG05-02)</p>